#### ATTENDANCE

	Date: D	ec 1, 2017	Start T	Cime: 1:00 pm	Location: MS-301	
School	Checked	l Box Indicates Prese	resence Names of Voting Members: First Last (alphabetical)			
AT	⊠ Eric I	Barros (Vice Chair)	⊠ Dia	na Lucero		
BIT	⊠ D. Jir	m Batzer (Tech Officer)	□ Rob	ert Stone		
CHSS	⊠ Debaı	rashmi Mitra	□ Dan	niel Peterman	☐ Melanie Viramontes	⊠ Amy Whitfield
HWPS	⊠ Renee	e Barela-Gutierrez	⊠ Cha	rles Fatta	☐ Sean Haaverson	
MSE	☐ Richa	ard Elder	□ Mel	issa Franklin	☐ Bradley Knockel	
SAGE	⊠ Aaror	n Cowan (Secretary)	⊠ Eve	retta Mora (Treasurer)	☐ David Valdés (Chair)	
	ACE Learning Center		r	□ Don McIver		
CNM O	Offices &	CNM Connect		☐ Herbert Hathaway		
Representa (Non-Vot	entatives			☐ Christopher Cavazos		
	voting)	Disability Resource Center Student Government		□ Ann Lyn Hall		
				☐ Jimmy Thompson		
Guest(s	)					

#### **GETTING STARTED**

Quorum (1/2 of the senate membership)		Proceed with Meeting
Quorum Present (9 present)		YES ⊠
No Quorum Present □		NO □
Approval of Agenda		Proceed with Meeting
Changes: None	Motion to Approve: Diane Second: David Yay: 9 Nay: Abstain:	YES ⊠ NO □
<b>Approval of Draft Minutes from 11-3-2017</b>		Approval Status
Changes:	Motion to Approve: Diane Second: David Yay: Unanimous Nay: Abstain:	Approved With Changes □ Approved, As Is ⊠ Not Approved □
Announcements		Action Taken
State of statewide Gen Ed. Hiring committee for Dean of AT will meet		

#### TOPICS AND ACTIONS

Topic: SSC By-Laws Review		Presenter
The member requirements were reduced from 2-4 to 1-2		Everetta
Five departmental group attendees were made optional and non-voting instead of mandato	ory	
"Several" should be defined (2-3?)		
Specify who will remove members who cannot be reached. i.e. the committee chair will i	remove members.	
SSC will present a report to the Strategic Direction Team each year.		
Do we need this committee if it can't get participation?		
Recommend at least 1 meeting per year. What does "as needed" mean?		
Actions	Person Responsible	Deadline

Topic: Academic Integrity Policy		Presenter
Academic integrity policy.		David
Preponderance of evidence and "more like than not" language remains.		
Replace "high level of honesty" with just "honesty".		
Discussed "not adhered to" versus "violated".		
Actions	Person Responsible	Deadline
Motion to approve: Amy		
Second: Debra		
Abstain: 1		
Yay: 8		

Topic: Framework for Online Quality Assurance Ratification	Presenter
Overall there was a fairly representative sampling.	Erica Volkers
Quite a few faculty responded who do not teach online.	
The majority (54%) agreed framework provides instructors reasonable options for course design.	
20-30% of faculty were undecided on many issues which may be reasonable initially.	
165 faculty have taught online in the last year and 20% of these responded, which was reasonably good.	
Excluding those respondents who did not teach online, this did not substantially change the results.	

Page 3 Respectfully submitted by Secretary

About 20% said they didn't know if they used a master shell for online teaching.

Two areas where the support was weakest (but still majority) were support for student success and course quality.

Most dissenters feel that academic freedom is being limited, but some instructors feel there is too much freedom.

Write-in answers varied widely and survey monkey was used to identify keyword themes as noted in the summary.

Eric, Jim, Erica, and David have been primarily responsible for framework creation and revision.

Greater than 50% of content remains constant, just as a reference point for the template course.

The requirement is that a self-assessment checklist will be on file for a template course every two years.

A completed self-assessment will be included in the course shell.

Peer reviewing counts as college service.

A stipend has been paid in the past as well for peer review.

Discipline faculty and administrators will decide collaboratively about whether a consensus course is used.

CBE uses consensus courses, but this does require non-CBE instructors in the discipline to use consensus shells.

Implementation will be in the spring academic 2018 year.

The inventory, model, and capacity should be determined by departments.

There may be funding to pay instructors to attend QM training.

DL currently supports instructor customization.

Full template course goes through QM review every 4 years. This means that instructors must revisit their changes to the template at this time.

Master course changes must be revisited every year.

Disciplines are free to review course templates every semester if they wish.

The course copy process should be added to framework.

Full-time or part-time faculty can create these templates.

Actions: Presentation stated:	Person Responsible	Deadline
Motion to move forward with framework with two additions: David	David	
Second: Diane		
Yea: 8		
Abstain:2		

Topic: Internationalizing the Curriculum		Presenter
Six global educational initiatives. Internationalizing is the 6 <sup>th</sup> .		Poppy Johnson-
Internationalization is a response to globalization.		Renvall,
Strategic goal is to serve 5000 students annually and increase 33% by 2020.		Along with:
There was an initial survey of associate deans of globalized content.		Lucio Lancara, Debra
Survey enhancements were created to map SLO's to GLO's.		Mitra
The aim is to increase exposure of students to global learning outcomes.		Scott Reese, Anna
These are aspirational learning outcomes.		Gilletly, Ari Rossner-
		Salazar
Actions	Person Responsible	Deadline
	_	

Topic: Transparent Course Costs		Presenter
Actions	Person Responsible	Deadline
Move to table transparent costs		
Second: Everetta		
Yay: Unanimous		
•		

#### **ADJOURN**

### Call to Adjourn

Motion: David Second: Jim Yay: Unanimous

Nay: Abstain:

Time of adjournment: 3 pm