



Assessment Report

PART 1: CONTACT & PROGRAM IDENTIFICATION

| Report Year and Contact Information: | | |
|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|------------------|
| 2019-2020 | Catron Allred | callred2@cnm.edu |
| Academic Year | Contact Person | Email |

| Name of Program: | Courses: |
|---|---|
| Teacher Education AA Degree: Elementary/Special Education | CEPY 2110 EDUC 1120 EDUC 1190 EDUC 2243 EDUC 2315 EDUC 2375 SPED 2110 |

PART 2: PROGRAM SUMMARY

| Provide a high-level review of the program to include highlights, successes, challenges, significant changes, and significant resources needed to support the program. |
|--|
| <p>The Associate of Arts in Teacher Education degree changed significantly in 2018 and restructured to have two concentrations: Elementary/Special Education and Secondary. In 2019-2020, there were 379 declared majors and 23 graduates in the Elementary/Special Education program. Course completion rates, retention and class fill rates are all above 80%. We started a pilot Teacher Education Fast Track program in Fall 2019 to create a full time Teacher Education cohort specifically for Educational Assistants and beginning freshman from the Educator’s Rising dual credit high school students. These students will be completing their associate degree in Fall 2020.</p> <p>Faculty worked to revise curriculum to ensure that the course learning objectives are well aligned to the summative tasks for each class. Online consensus shells have been created to ensure consistency in these learning objectives and related summative assignments for online courses offered and this work will continue for the face-to-face classes as well. We built a high school consensus shell for EDUC 1120/1190 and have been working with the high school dual credit instructors to create more alignment across the sections.</p> |

| |
|--|
| |
|--|

Part 3: DATA REVIEW

| Program Data (Each Review Year is defined as Summer, Fall, and Spring terms) | Review Year 19-20 | Review Year 18-19 | Review Year 17-18 |
|--|--|--|--|
| Annual number of graduate awards is greater than 10 | 23 | 9 | |
| Number of declared majors | 379 | 220 | 114 |
| Average class size | 21 | 22 | 22 |
| Annual Average class retention rate is 70% or above (SAGE 65%) | 88% | 87% | 89% |
| Annual C-Pass rate for coursework is 60% or above | 80% | 74% | 79% |
| Average class fill rate at 60% or above capacity within a term or over a year | 80% | 82% | 81% |
| Transfer numbers/percent | NA | 7 (78%) | 0% |

| | | | |
|--------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|
| Full-time to part-time faculty ratio | 18: 32 | 12: 36 | 14: 21 |
|--------------------------------------|--------|--------|--------|

| |
|--|
| Summarize how your program met or did not meet the target measures based on the data above. |
| All target measure were met during 2019-2020. |

Part 4: PROGRAM LEARNING OUTCOME ANALYSIS.

| Learning Outcome | Population or Course(s) Assessed | Assessment Methods | Summary of Assessment Results |
|---|----------------------------------|--------------------|--|
| Alignment between course learning objectives and course summative assessments | All EDUC AA Courses | Survey. | <p>A student survey was administered in the Fall of 2019 and Spring of 2020 that asked students to rate their level of agreement with the extent to which the course content prepared them to meet course learning objectives. Students were then asked what assignment demonstrated their learning of course objectives and this data was collected as qualitative responses to be used for course improvement. A total of 396 survey responses were collected in the five teacher education courses and students indicated an average rating of 4.64 of alignment between course learning objectives and summative assessments/assignments in the courses (with the highest rating of alignment being a 5). EDUC 1120 = 4.7%; EDUC 2243 = 4.9%; EDUC 2315 = 4.5%; EDUC 2375 = 4.5%; SPED 2110 = 4.6%</p> |

| Interpretation of Assessment findings |
|--|
| Student responses indicate that they perceive a high degree of alignment between course assessments and course learning objectives. In other words, they feel that the course prepared them to meet learning objectives and had qualitative responses about which assignments they felt were best aligned with course learning objectives. |

Part 6: ADDITIONAL ACTION PLAN IN SUPPORT OF STUDENT LEARNING (IF APPROPRIATE)

| Upcoming year | Changes planned for the upcoming year | Data motivating this change |
|---------------|---|--|
| 2020-2021 | The Teacher Education faculty will take a closer look at the student’s quantitative and qualitative responses to ensure continued alignment between course content and identified course learning objectives. | Qualitative student data responses on the survey |
| 2020-2021 | | |
| 2020-2021 | | |

Please Select all the following that characterize the types of changes described in the above action plan:

- Assessment criteria revision Assessment methodology revision Assignment revision
- Budgetary reallocation Change in teaching approach Course content revision
- Curricular Revision Faculty training/development Process revision

Part 6: COMMENTS

Use this section to record any comments, notes, or questions from individuals who reviewed this report.

School Dean:

SAAC Representative: